

Committee Name:	Foreshore Advisory Committee
Date of Meeting:	6 November 2025
Councillors in Attendance:	Cr Emily Green
Council Officers in Attendance: <i>(please specify only the title of the officer)</i>	Coastal Policy and Planning Officer Coordinator Environmental Policy and Planning
Other Attendees: <i>(please specify only the title & organisation of the attendee)</i>	8 out of 12 committee members
Responsible Directorate:	Communities

Matters considered

Title/heading of Item	Brief highlights of the matter discussed	Declaration of Conflict of Interest, if any
Welcome	Acknowledgment to Country Apologies Acceptance of previous minutes Conflicts of Interest	-
Water quality in Port Phillip Bay	Continued poor water quality in the Bay was discussed. The Committee seeks to enquire with Melbourne Water and the Environment Protection Authority on the issues.	-
Dune protection fencing	An overview of dune protection fencing design and function was provided to the committee. Overview of Seaford coastal access and dune risk management initiative 2025-26 (DEECA CPAR grant of \$50,000 awarded) to start in November was provided, establishing a strategic decision-making framework for how we decide on the use and style of dune protection fencing, review of where it is working and how. The committee noted that there is more erosion being seen along the foreshore than in previous years. Nature-based solutions and case studies from other states were discussed seeking to reduce costs and maintenance burden.	-

<p>Coastal Resilience project – Risk and vulnerability</p>	<p>The committee got an overview of the Stage 4 risk and vulnerability draft report, focusing on key methodology used and consequence tables for the three main hazards – coastal erosion, inundation and cliff stability. The consequence tables were discussed, seeking the committee’s feedback on the impact description column and all the measures and their escalation ranges.</p> <p>The approach aimed to balance technical hazard processes with social, economic, and environmental priorities. To do this well, we sought the committee’s input on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are the current consequence measures appropriate? • Do the escalation ranges make sense? • Do these measures reflect what matters most to the community? <p>The committee also provided recommendations on the potential topics of interest to the community and guest speakers to engage the broader community on coastal hazards and risk.</p>	-
<p>Council update</p>	<p>Link to the engagement portal to Help Shape Frankston’s Circular Future was provided to the committee</p>	
<p>Next Meeting date:</p>	<p>December 2025 – end-of-year celebration</p>	